IMPROVEMENT OF COASTAL SANDY SOIL BY BLENDING LOCAL UOORI CLAY FOR SUBGRADE/ EMBANKMENT AND SUB BASE CONSTRUCTION

K Kowsikan

148307A

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

November 2018

IMPROVEMENT OF COASTAL SANDY SOIL BY BLENDING LOCAL UOORI CLAY FOR SUBGRADE/ EMBANKMENT AND SUB BASE CONSTRUCTION

Kugananthan Kowsikan

148307A

Master of Engineering in Highway & Traffic Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

November 2018

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and believe it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic, or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	Date:
The above candidate has carried or Dissertation under my supervision.	ut research for the Master/MPhil/PhD thesis/
Signature of the supervisor:	Date:

Improvement of Coastal Sandy Soil by Blending Local Uoori Clay for Subgrade/ Embankment and Sub Base Construction: An Experimental Study

Use of locally available material for road construction is emphasized in the present context of potential environmental issues and the restriction on transport imposed by the state. Budgetary constraint for coastal road construction is yet another aspect meriting the use of local materials. The situation in the Northern Province of Sri Lanka is particularly severe compared to other provinces because of the scarcity of materials, which instigate long distance transport from adjacent provinces.

The research aims to carry out studies on the engineering properties of the locally available materials in the Northern Province and to adopt an appropriate technique to stabilize and use for low cost coastal roads construction. The material; Uoori clay (CH, Clay of high plasticity) and coastal sand are commonly available in the Northern coastal belts. It is proposed to blend the local Uoori clay material with the coastal sand and explore the use of the blended material for sub grade/embankment and sub base construction. Sieve Analysis, Atterberg Limits, Modified Proctor Compaction, and California Bearing Ratio (CBR) have been performed for the parent material (control sample) and the blended material with various compositions of local Uoori clay and coastal sand.

The blended materials were analyzed with the specified requirement under "Standard Specifications for Construction and Maintenance of Roads and Bridges (SSCM) (iCTAD, 2009)" in Sri Lanka. Accordingly it was found that the composite materials 50:50, 60:40, 70:30 and 80:20 shall be used as embankment materials in road construction works while composite material 60:40 shall only be used for sub base construction.

Key Words: Uoori clay, coastal sand and composite/blended material.

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Department of Civil Engineering, of the University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for giving me the opportunity to follow the post graduate program, M.Eng/PG Diploma in Highway and Traffic Engineering.

As my supervisor, Professor W.K.Mampearachchi guided me, gave me valuable comments and numerous suggestions and words cannot express my gratitude towards him.

Also I am thankful towards the lecturers and staff of the Transportation Engineering Division, especially Dr.H.R.Pasindu, who directed me and provided assistance to complete my project.

I am forever grateful towards the Provincial Director, Eng T. Sivarajalingam from the Road Development Department who not only sponsored me to follow the Master of Engineering program but also granted me official leave for study purposes and allocated the laboratory facilities for research.

Last but not least, it is with all due sincerity that I thank the engineers, my friends and all others that did not hesitate to support me in ways great and small.

LIST OF CONTENT

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR	i
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
LIST OF CONTENT	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
LIST OF APPENDICES	
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	1
1.3 Objectives	2
CHAPTER 2	3
LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Review/ Study the past Research on Mixing various Materials to Imp	rove their
Properties for Road Construction	4
2.2.1 Influence of Sand and fly ash on Clayey Soil Stabilization	(Sharma,
2014)	
2.2.2 Compaction and Subgrade Characteristics of Clayey Soil Ble	
Beas Sand, Fly Ash and Waste Plastic Strips (Sharma, 2013)	
2.2.3 Bamboo as a Subgrade Reinforcement for Low Volume Road	
Soils (Raja, Babu, 2013)	
2.2.4 Effect of Fine Percentage on the Properties of Sub base Mate	,
2016)	
2.2.5 Applicability of Steel Fibers to Improve the Properties o	
Stabilized Aggregate Bases (Silva 2011).	
2.2.6 The Cement Stabilized Soil as a Road Base Material for S	
Roads (Bandara 2017).	
CHAPTER 3	
REQUIRED SOIL PROPERTIES FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN SR	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Embankment Material	
3.3 Soil for Upper Sub base and Lower Sub base or Selected Subgrade M	
2.4 Sail fam Dood Shayldang and Convol Symfacing	
3.4 Soil for Road Shoulders and Gravel Surfacing	21

3.5 Limitation for Burrowing Materials of Uoori clay and Coastal Sand f	or Road
Construction	22
CHAPTER 4	24
METHODOLOGY	24
4.1 Introduction	24
4.2 Laboratory Testing for Sieve Analysis	25
4.3 Laboratory Testing for Atterberg limit test	25
4.4 Laboratory Testing for Modified Proctor Compaction	26
4.5 Laboratory Testing for California Bearing Ratio	27
4.6 Laboratory Testing for Composite Material 60:40	28
CHAPTER 5	30
LABORATORY TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	30
5.1 Sieve Analysis (AASHTO T 88-00)	30
5.1.1 Dry Sieve Test	30
5.1.2 Wet Sieve Test	31
5.2 Atterberg Limits (BS 1377-2: 1990)	33
5.3 Proctor Compaction (AASHTO T 180-01)	35
5.4 California Bearing Ratio Test (AASHTO: T193-99)	36
5.5 Research Findings	37
5.6 Compaction on Incremental Compaction Effort	37
5.7 Particle Size Change with Incremental Compaction	38
CHAPTER 6	41
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	41
REFERENCE LIST	42

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: A traditional method of road construction in Northern Province
Figure 1.2: Source of Uoori clay
Figure 1.3: Coastal sand dune
Figure 2.1: Particle size distribution of clay, sand and fly ash
Figure 2.2: Compaction characteristics of clay-sand mixes
Figure 2.3: Compaction characteristics of clay-sand mixes
Figure 2.4: Variation of soaked CBR and unsoaked CBR values for various optimum
mixes
Figure 2.5: Variation of expansion ratio in CBR samples after 4 days soaking for
optimum mixes
Figure 2.6: Effect of Plastic Strip Content on Maximum Dry Density of (Clay: Sand:
Fly Ash: 54:36:10) Mix
Figure 2.7: Variation of California Bearing Ratio with Plastic Strip for (Clay: Sand:
Fly Ash: 54:36:10) Mix
Figure 2.8: Variation of Coefficient of Permeability (k) with Plastic Strip Content9
Figure 4.1: Preparation of composite material
Figure 4.2: Shaking operation and retain sample in sieves
Figure 4.3: Conduct the Liquid limit and Plastic limit tests
Figure 4.4: Compaction of sample and measures
Figure 4.5: CBR sample measures and testing
Figure 5.1: Results of dry sieve test for blended materials
Figure 5.2: Results of wet sieve test for blended materials
Figure 5.3: Moisture content vs. dry density for blended material
Figure 5.4: Number of blows vs. dry density for 60:40 (with increasing compaction
effort)
Figure 5.5: Graph for passing percentage of 60:40 with specified limit

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Physical properties of materials used	4
Table 2.2: Requirement of the Material Properties for Sub base Construction Prac	tice
in Sri Lanka	. 11
Table 2.3: Material Properties of Soil Samples	. 13
Table 2.4: Correlation between the Material Properties of the Samples	. 14
Table 2.5: Flexural Strength with/ without steel Fibres	. 15
Table 2.6: Crushing Strength with/ without steel Fibres	. 15
Table 3.1: Requirement of Embankment	. 19
Table 3.2: Requirement of Upper Sub base	. 20
Table 3.3: Grading Requirements for Upper Sub base	. 20
Table 3.4: Requirements of Lower Sub base or Select Subgrade	. 21
Table 3.5: Grading Requirements for Road Shoulders and Gravel Surfacing	. 21
Table 3.6: Consistency limits for Earthen Road Shoulders and Gravel Surfacing	. 22
Table 5.1: Passing percentage in dry sieve test	. 30
Table 5.2: Passing percentage in wet sieve test	. 32
Table 5.3: Samples selected prior to proctor compaction	. 33
Table 5.4: Samples taken at optimum compaction level under laboratory condition	ons
	. 33
Table 5.5: Limit results for composite material	. 34
Table 5.6: OMC and MDD results for composite material	. 36
Table 5.7: CBR value for composite material in different % of MDD	. 36
Table 5.8: OMC percentage and MDD for incremental compaction efforts	. 37
Table 5.9: Passing percentage of composite material 60: 40 with increasing	the
number of blows	. 39

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

AASHTO American Association of State Highway and

Transportation Officials

BS British Standards

CBR California Bearing Ratio

CC Cement Content

CEA Central Environmental Authority

CSB Cement Stabilized Soil Base

GSMB Geological Survey and Mines Bureau

ICTAD Institute for Construction Training and Development

LL Liquid Limit

MDD Maximum Dry Density

OMC Optimum Moisture Content

PI Plastic Index
PL Plastic Limit

SFRB Steel Fibre Reinforced Bases

SSCM Standard Specifications for Construction and Maintenance of

Roads and Bridges

UCS Unconfined Compressive Strength

UK United Kingdom

USA United States of America

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: 44
Dry Sieve and Wet Sieve Tests Readings and the Calculation of Soil Passing
Percentage through the Sieves for Composite Materials
APPENDIX B: 58
Test Readings and Calculation of "LL" and "PI" Values for Sample, Selected "Prior
to the Proctor Compaction" and "After Conducting the Proctor
Compaction at Optimum Moisture Level" of composite materials 58
APPENDIX C:
Test Readings and Calculations of Dry Density with Respective Moisture Content
and Graphs for Composite Materials
APPENDIX D:
Test Readings and Calculation of Four Day Soaked "CBR" Values with Respective
Densities (95%, 98% & 100% of "MDD") and Relevant Graphs for
Composite Materials77
APPENDIX E: 90
Test Readings and Calculations of Dry Density with Respective Moisture Content
and Graph for Composite Material 60:40 in Different Compaction
Efforts
APPENDIX F: 96
Dry Sieve Test Readings and Calculations of Soil Passing Percentages for Composite
Material 60:40, Selected "After Conducting the Proctor Compaction at
OMC" in Different Compaction Efforts