# SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO INTEGRATED MINE BENCH OPTIMIZATION IN SOIL AND ROCK OF SRI LANKAN OPEN PIT MINES – A CASE STUDY

Widanalage Danushka Madushan De Mel

168953R

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2020

# SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO INTEGRATED MINE BENCH OPTIMIZATION IN SOIL AND ROCK OF SRI LANKAN OPEN PIT MINES – A CASE STUDY

Widanalage Danushka Madushan De Mel

#### 168953R

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Master of Engineering

in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining System

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2020

#### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person expect where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or

and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, ele	ectronic or other medium.
retain the right to use this content in whole or part in futu-	re works (such as articles
books).	
Widanalage Danushka Madushan De Mel	Date
The above candidate has carried out research for the Mast	er's thesis under my
supervision.	
Professor U.G.A. Puswewala	Date

# SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO INTEGRATED MINE BENCH OPTIMIZATION IN SOIL AND ROCK OF SRI LANKAN OPEN PIT MINES - A CASE STUDY

#### **ABSTRACT**

Instabilities and failures in rock slopes occur due to numerous factors such as unfavorable slope geometries, geological discontinuities, weak or weathered materials in the slopes, existing weather conditions and environmentally induced external factors such as heavy precipitation, seismic activities and groundwater. Bench optimization is carried out to maintain bench height and dip of the slope within an allowable factor of safety, thus avoiding rock slope failures and instabilities. Therefore, optimum determination of these geometrical features has become a most significant part of soil and rock slope stability analysis in Open Pit Mining where multiple benches of excavation are maintained.

Field work related to this research study primarily comprised of observation of structural geological features (dip and strike) and other measurements and observations (joint spacing, separation, condition of joint) required for analysis work, including Slope Mass Rating analysis, at the selected site of Halbarawa, Sri Lanka. Furthermore, soil and rock samples were collected from the selected site to perform laboratory tests. Proctor compaction test and direct shear test were carried out for selected samples to evaluate the overburden slope stability. Simultaneously, stability of soil and highly weathered rock slope was analyzed by SLOPE W software. In order to analyze rock slopes, initially possible rock failure modes were identified using Georient software. If it indicated some tendency to fail, a detailed analysis of wedge failure was carried out using GEO5 software. Further, Toppling and Planer modes of failure were analyzed via SMR analysis.

The study focused on optimizing the bench geometry of mine slopes necessarily consisting soil, highly weathered rock and fractured rock in order to explore ways for safe and economical bench designing. This was achieved by integrating kinematic, empirical and limit equilibrium approaches for slope stability investigation and guidelines were finally developed so that the same methodology can be universally applied for assessing the soil and rock slope stability in similar situations. This procedure was developed through the case study of Halbarawa Mine.

Results indicated that the stability is more sensitive to variation in cohesion than variation in friction angle of overburden profile. As far as the bench geometry is considered, multiple benches are seen as the most reliable mining methods for steeply dipping benches. According to RQD of each location, the rocks in the particular area varied from moderately hard rocks to hard rock. The Kinematic analysis disclosed that most of joint planes intersect with each other and produce various potential failure mechanisms. The dip and the dip direction of the slope faces determine the possibility of failure and the mode of failure with respect to the discontinuity plane.

For the Halbarawa site, as per the SMR analysis, face 1, 2 and 3 can be categorized into completely unstable (V), partially stable (III) and unstable (IV) rock stability classes respectively. It was also understood that surcharge load is a more critical factor than the static water pressure when a wedge failure is considered. The most successful, economical

and rapid remedial measures to enhance the stability of rock slope are reduction of bench height and reduction of bench angle.

**KEYWORDS**: Bench optimization, Open Pit Mines, SMR, surcharge load, stability classes, Kinematic analysis.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I am deeply indebted to my research supervisor Professor. U.G.A Puswewala for his immense support throughout my study with his patience and knowledge. His challenging questions and critical suggestions were beneficial for me to remain on the correct path till towards the completion. Without his encouragement and motivation with continuous guidance, it would have not been possible to complete this study. It is a real privilege and honor for me to study under the supervision of an extraordinary teacher like you.

I also would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor. S.A.S Kulathilaka, Professor. H.S.Thilakasiri, Dr. L.I.N. De Silva, Dr. U.P. Nawagamuwa, Dr. A.M.K.B. Abeysinghe, Dr. C. Jayawardana and Dr L.S.P. Rohitha for the support and guidance extended in terms of academic to pursue my goals. Their sincere and consistent encouragement is greatly appreciated.

Further, I am grateful to Eng. Chanaka Godawithana in China Harbour Pvt (Ltd) and the staff of Soil Mechanics Laboratory, Material Testing Laboratory and Mineral Engineering Laboratory University of Moratuwa for their support in different ways during this research period.

I also extend my sincere thanks to all my colleagues and friends, especially Nishadhi Nayanthara, Ashara Hettiarachchi, Tharindu Abeykoon, Thisuni Kodippili and Nimila Dushyantha for their support in numerous ways whenever I needed it. The assistance extended in difficult times is highly appreciated.

Last but not least, I would like to share my heartfelt thanks to my parents, wife, sister and brother for their unconditional support, encouragement and love throughout this study. It would have not been possible to come this far without them.

### **Table of Contents**

D	DECLA	RATION	. i
A	BSTR	ACT	iv
A	CKNC	DWLEDGEMENT	vi
L	IST OF	F FIGURES	хi
L	IST OF	F TABLESx	iv
Α	BBRE	VIATIONSx	۲V
1		TRODUCTION	
	1.1	Problem statement	
	1.2	Objectives	
	1.3	Study area	
	1.3	Limitations of the research study	
2		•	
2	LH	ERATURE REVIEW	Э
	2.1	Structural geological features	5
	2.1.1	1 Foliation	5
	2.1.2	2 Joints	5
	2.2	Structural geological measurement	5
	2.2.1	1 Strike	5
	2.2.2	2 Dip	5
	2.2.3	3 Dip direction	5
	2.2.4	4 Trend	5
	2.2.5	5 Plunge	6
	2.3	Types of slope failures	6
	2.3.1		
	2.3.2	2 Wedge failure	7
	2.3.3	-	
	2.3.4		
	2.4	Correlations to calculate friction angle of rock mass	
	2.5	Correlations to calculate cohesion of rock mass	
	2.6	Estimation of Rock Quality Designation	
	2.7	Geological Strength Index	

	2.8	Case	e studies	17
3	ME	THO	DOLOGY	18
	3.1	Sele	ection of the study area	18
	3.2	Sun	nmary of Methodology	18
	3.3	Alge	orithm of Methodology	20
	3.4	Prel	iminary survey	20
	3.4.	1	Geology of area	20
	3.4.	2	Prediction of periotic surface using annual precipitation data	21
	3.5	Roc	k, soil sample collection	22
	3.6	Sam	ple preparation for the laboratory test	22
	3.7	Test	s on Overburden	24
	3.7.	1	Proctor compaction test procedure	24
	3.7.	2	Direct shear test procedure.	24
	3.8	Slop	be stability analysis using Slope W software	25
	3.8.	1	Stepwise approach for slope stability analysis	25
	3.9	Roc	k Mass Rating analysis	28
	3.9.	1	GPS coordinates of the positions	28
	3.9.	2	Measurement of parameters of major rock joint sets	29
	3.9.	3	Measuring of joint spacing.	29
	3.9.	4	Measuring of joint separation	29
	3.9.	5	Attaining of RQD using joint spacing	30
	3.9.	6	Uniaxial Compressive Strength test	30
	3.9.	7	Friction angle of rock mass	30
	3.10	Ster	eographic Projection	31
	3.10	).1	Measurement of dip, strike and dip direction using Brunton compass	31
	3.10	0.2	Stereo plot study using Georient software	32
	3.11	Slop	be Mass Rating system	34
	3.12	Roc	k stability analysis using GEO5 software	34
	3.12	2.1	Validation of GEO5 software	34
	3.12	2.2	Steps involved in slope stability analysis using GEO5 software	35
	3.12	2.3	Wedge failure identification	38
	3.12	2.4	Specific gravity test procedure for rock	38
4	AN	ALY	SIS OF DATA	39
	4 1	Ana	lysis of annual precipitation data	39

	4.2	Overburden slope stability analysis	42
	4.2.	Slope stability analysis via Geo Studio software	52
	4.3	Rock Mass Rating analysis	55
	4.3.	Calculation of RQD using joint spacing	55
	4.3.2	Obtaining of Uniaxial Compressive Strength of rock	55
	4.3.3	Rock Mass Rating system adaptation	56
	4.3.4	Determination of friction angle of rock mass	59
	4.4	Stereo plot analysis using Georient software	60
	4.4.	Identification of major joint sets	60
	4.4.2	Determination of dip and dip direction of joint sets	62
	4.4.3	Kinematic analysis of joint sets	62
	4.5	Slope Mass Rating analysis	63
	4.5.	The SMR calculation for Toppling failure (First face, Joint number 3)	63
	4.5.2	The SMR calculation for Planer failure (Third face, Joint number 3)	64
	4.6	Rock slope analysis using GEO5 software	66
	4.6.	Validation of GEO5 software with aid of Thalathu Oya quarry	66
	4.6.2	Wedge failure analysis using GEO5 software	68
5	RES	SULTS AND DISCUSSION	73
	5.1	Estimation of ground water table	73
	5.2	Overburden slope stability analysis	74
	5.2.	Variation of mechanical strength parameters	74
	5.2.2	Optimization of overburden bench angle with different bench geometries	75
	5.2.3	Effect of the variation of shear strength parameters of overburden soil	76
	5.3	Rock classification according to Rock Quality Designation	77
	5.4	Application of Geological Strength Index for rock mass classification	78
	5.5	Grading of rock mass according to Unconfined Compressive Strength	79
	5.6	Stereographic Projection analysis	80
	5.7	Slope Mass Rating analysis	83
	5.7.	Slope stability classes variation over the site	84
	5.8	Validation of GEO5 Software	89
	5.9	Wedge failure analysis	91
	5.10 failure	Determination of the best slope stability improvement techniques for wedge	Q:
6		NCLUSION	
J			
	6.1	Conclusion for the main objective	95

6.2	Conclusion for the specific objectives	96
7 RE	COMMENDATION	99
8 RE	FERENCES	100
ANNEX	X A - Properties of rock joints	104
ANNEX	X B - The data of Unconfined Compressive Strength test	106
ANNEX	X C - Structural geological parameters on discontinuities	112
ANNEX	X D - Data of rock specific gravity test	115
ANNEX	X E – Observation data of Proctor compaction test	116
ANNEX	X F - Observation data of direct shear test	118
ANNEX	X G - Analytical outputs of Slope W analysis	121
ANNEX	X H - Analytical outputs of kinematic analysis	125
ANNEX	X I - Analytical outputs of wedge stability analysis	138

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: The geometrical features of the multiple mine bench	2
Figure 1-2: Basic forces applied on a mobilized soil slope.	3
Figure 2-1: Structural geological measurements.	6
Figure 2-2: Stereo plots of structural condition for plane failure	7
Figure 2-3: Stereo plots of structural condition for Wedge failure	7
Figure 2-4: Stereo plots of structural condition for toppling failure	8
Figure 2-5: Circular type failure	9
Figure 2-6: The modified GSI classification	. 12
Figure 3-1: Arial photograph of the site	.18
Figure 3-2: Flow chart of Methodology	. 20
Figure 3-3: Area geological map	. 21
Figure 3-4: Sample preparation for direct shear test	. 23
Figure 3-5: Preparation of core sample	. 23
Figure 3-6: Opening a new project	. 25
Figure 3-7: Draw the profile regions	. 25
Figure 3-8: Introduce the material properties	. 26
Figure 3-9: Define the phreatic line	26
Figure 3-10: Introducing surcharge loads	. 27
Figure 3-11: Generation of pore water pressure contours	. 27
Figure 3-12: Obtaining slip surface with lowest FOS	. 28
Figure 3-13: Obtaining of GPS coordinates of the locations	. 28
Figure 3-14: Measuring of joint sets spacing	. 29
Figure 3-15: Measuring of joint separation	. 30
Figure 3-16: Measuring of strike of the joint and foliation	.31
Figure 3-17: Measuring of dip angle of discontinuity	.31
Figure 3-18: Obtaining of dip direction	. 32
Figure 3-19: Selection of analysis	. 33
Figure 3-20: Selection of data format	. 33
Figure 3-21: Introducing of friction angle	. 33
Figure 3-22: Selection of analysis method	. 35
Figure 3-23: Defining of geometry	. 35
Figure 3-24: Introducing design parameters	.36
Figure 3-25: Isometric view of slope geometry.	.36
Figure 3-26: Properties of slip surface and tension crack	. 37
Figure 3-27: Defining of ground water condition	. 37
Figure 3-28: Analysis of rock slope stability	. 37
Figure 4-1: Monthly rainfall variation in 2014	. 39
Figure 4-2: Monthly rainfall variation in 2015	40
Figure 4-3: Monthly rainfall variation in 2016	40
Figure 4-4: Monthly rainfall variation in 2017	
Figure 4-5: Monthly rainfall variation in 2018	41
Figure 4-6: Annual rainfall variation	42

Figure 4-7: Variation of dry density of soil against moisture content for Location 143
Figure 4-8: Variation of dry density of soil against moisture content for Location 243
Figure 4-9: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for overburden soil under
50KN normal load at Location 1
Figure 4-10: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for overburden soil under
100KN normal load at Location 145
Figure 4-11: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for overburden soil under
150KN normal load at Location 145
Figure 4-12: Shear stress against normal stress curve for overburden soil at Location
146
Figure 4-13: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for overburden soil under
50KN normal load at Location 2
Figure 4-14: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for overburden soil under
100KN normal load at Location 2
Figure 4-15: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for overburden soil under
150KN normal load at Location 2
Figure 4-16: Shear stress against normal stress curve for overburden soil at Location
2
Figure 4-17: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for highly weathered rock
under 50KN normal load at Location 1
Figure 4-18: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for highly weathered rock
under 100KN normal load to Location 1
Figure 4-19: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for highly weathered rock
under 150KN normal load to Location 1
Figure 4-20: Shear stress against normal stress curve for highly weathered rock for
Location 1
Figure 4-21: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for highly weathered rock
under 50 KN normal load to Location 2
Figure 4-22: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for highly weathered rock
under 100 KN normal load to Location 2
Figure 4-23: Shear stress against shear displacement curve for highly weathered rock
under 150 KN normal load to Location 2
Figure 4-24: Shear stress against normal stress curve for highly weathered rock for
Location 2
Figure 4-25: Minimum FOS as failure exit occurs in top soil when slope maintains a
single bench
Figure 4-26: Minimum FOS as failure exit occurs in highly weathered rock when
slope maintains a single bench
Figure 4-27: Minimum FOS as failure exit occurs in top soil when slope maintains
multiple benches
1
Figure 4-28: Minimum FOS as failure exit occurs in highly weathered rock when
slope maintains multiple benches. 54
Figure 4-29: Contoured pole plot of Face 1
Figure 4-30: Contoured pole plot of Face 2

Figure 4-31: Contoured pole plot of Face 3	62
Figure 4-32: Stereographic projection of discontinuities	63
Figure 4-33: Stereonet analysis used to identify different types of failure modes	67
Figure 4-34: Approximate geometry of failed slope	67
Figure 4-35: Soil profile of the area	69
Figure 4-36: Wedge failure analysis by considering surcharge load only	70
Figure 4-37: Wedge failure analysis by considering water table only	70
Figure 4-38: Wedge failure analysis without considering water table and surcharge	•
load	71
Figure 4-39: Wedge failure analysis with considering water table and surcharge loa	ad
	71
Figure 5-1: Annual rainfall intensity	73
Figure 5-2: Variation of factor of safety with bench angle	75
Figure 5-3: Variation of factor of safety with variation of shear strength parameter	
values measured in laboratory	76
Figure 5-4: Variation of SMR with slope dip direction for plane failure mode	83
Figure 5-5: Variation of SMR with slope dip direction for toppling failure	84
Figure 5-6: Case A, without considering ground acceleration	89
Figure 5-7: Case B, considering ground acceleration	90
Figure 5-8: Variation of factor of safety for wedge failure cases with different	
conditions	92
Figure 5-9: Variation of factor of safety with percentage improvement of favorable	•
and unfavorable conditions for wedge failure	
Figure 6-1: A wide-ranging procedure to optimize rock slope	98

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Rock Mass Rating system	13
Table 2-2: Slope Mass Rating adjustment factors	14
Table 2-3: Classification of rock slope according to SMR	15
Table 2-4: Shear strength parameters for Rock Mass Rating values	16
Table 2-5: Classification of rock quality based on RQD	16
Table 4-1: Calculation of dry density of soil	42
Table 4-2: Calculation of shear stress of overburden soil	44
Table 4-3: Calculation of Unconfined Compressive Strength of rock	56
Table 4-4: Rock Mass Rating for Location 1	56
Table 4-5: Rock Mass Rating for Location 2	57
Table 4-6: Rock Mass Rating for Location 3	57
Table 4-7: Rock Mass Rating for Location 4	58
Table 4-8: Rock Mass Rating for Location 5	
Table 4-9: Rock Mass Rating for Location 6	59
Table 4-10: Friction angle with RMR & UCS for Locations 1 to 6	60
Table 4-11: Slope Mass Rating values	65
Table 4-12: Rock Mass Rating results of Thalathu Oya rock quarry	66
Table 4-13: Rock joints and slope geological properties	67
Table 4-14: Calculation of unit weight of rock	68
Table 4-15: Properties of the Soil layers	69
Table 4-16: Variation of favorable and unfavorable conditions of rock slope	72
Table 5-1: Mechanical properties of soil layers	74
Table 5-2: RQD according to Volumetric Joint Count	77
Table 5-3: Variation of Geological Strength Index over the site	78
Table 5-4: Average UCS of rocks at different locations	79
Table 5-5: Dip and dip directions of major joint sets	80
Table 5-6: Possible failure modes with respect to slope faces	81
Table 5-7: Slope stability classes	
Table 5-8: Stability of slope based on the dip angle of discontinuities and the fric	
angle	
Table 5-9: Structural geological parameters for wedge failure analysis	91

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

D/D – Dip Direction

GSI – Geological Strength Index

HWR – Highly Weathered Rock

Jn – Number of joint sets

Jv – Volumetric Joint Count

M-B — Multiple bench orientation with slip circle exit through HWR

M-T — Multiple bench orientation with slip circle exit through top soil

P – Planer failure

RMi – Rock Mass Index

RMR – Rock Mass Rating

RQD – Rock Quality Designation

S – Joint Spacing

S-B — Single bench orientation with slip circle exit through HWR

SMR – Slope Mass Rating

S-T — Single bench orientation with slip circle exit through top soil

T — Toppling failure

UCS — Unconfined Compressive Strength

W – Wedge failure

 $\sigma_{cm}$  — Unconfined Compressive Strength of rock mass